

post-treatment scores in comparison with those of a control group. In the first scenario, a woman with a baseline score just below the mean of the post-treatment group does not change as a result of chemotherapy. Her result contributes to the overall poorer score of the post-treatment group, but this woman does not have a chemotherapy induced impairment. In the second scenario, a woman with a baseline score two standard deviations above the mean of the control group drops to the mean of the control group after chemotherapy. She is a computer programmer who now has difficulty in performing complex tasks at work. She reports that she is concerned about losing her job and is now anxious about her declining work performance. Her score after chemotherapy does not contribute to the overall poorer result of the post-treatment group, but clearly she has an impairment. In the third scenario, a woman has a baseline score equal to the mean of the control group and drops to the mean of the post-treatment group after chemotherapy. She is a housewife whose daily activities have not changed but she perceives that she is different now and is distressed by this awareness. This woman's score contributes to the worse result of the chemotherapy group and her perception of change and objective change match. She is anxious about the change, but her daily living cognitive performance as a housewife remains normal.

These scenarios illustrate the need for longitudinal studies with baseline measures in order to provide a better understanding of the nature of cognitive change after adjuvant chemotherapy and its relationship to other patient reported outcomes. Although published cross-sectional studies have employed self-report scales of quality of life, anxiety and depression to 'correct' for differences in cognitive scores between groups, the nature of this relationship is unknown. Changes in quality of life, anxiety and depression are well documented in women with early breast cancer after chemotherapy, but scenario two above suggests that impaired cognitive functioning may mediate anxiety as a result of significant impairment of daily living. In scenario three, the perception of cognitive impairment,

not matched by impairment of daily living activities, could also plausibly mediate anxiety.

These examples highlight the emerging importance of the cognitive domain in psycho-oncology research. Unlike self-report scales of quality of life however, tests of cognitive functioning are applied and interpreted by trained neuropsychologists. The addition of the neuropsychologist to the multi-disciplinary cancer team can therefore add a new dimension both to psycho-oncology research and cancer care by integrating the clinical skills of history taking and the application and interpretation of cognitive tests to assess impairment and to develop individualised behavioural interventions. □

References

1. Albert MS. Assessment of cognitive dysfunction. In: Albert MS and Moss MB, editors. *Geriatric Neuropsychology*. New York: Guilford; 1998. p. 57-81.
2. Carroll JB. *Human Cognition Abilities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.
3. Wieneke MH, Dienst ER. Neuropsychological assessment of cognitive functioning following chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*. 1995; 4: 61-66.
4. Van Dam FSAM, et al. Impairment of cognitive function in women receiving adjuvant treatment for high-risk breast cancer: High-dose versus standard-dose chemotherapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1998; 90(3): 210-218.
5. Schagen SB, et al. Cognitive deficits after postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy for breast carcinoma. *Cancer*. 1999; 85: 640-650.
6. Ahles TA, et al. Neuropsychologic impact of standard-dose systemic chemotherapy in long-term survivors of breast cancer and lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20(2): 485-493.
7. Brezden CB, et al. Cognitive function in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000; 18(14): 2695-2701.
8. Wefel JS, et al. The cognitive sequelae of standard-dose adjuvant chemotherapy in women with breast carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2004; 100: 2292-2299.
9. Shilling V, Jenkins V, Morris R, Deutsch G, Bloomfield D. The effect of adjuvant chemotherapy of cognition in women with early breast cancer - preliminary results of an observational longitudinal study. *The Breast*. 2005; 14: 142-150.
10. Tchen N, et al. Cognitive function, fatigue, and menopausal symptoms in women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003; 21(22): 4175 - 4183.
11. Anderson-Hanley C, et al. Neuropsychological effects of treatments for adults with cancer: A meta-analysis and review of the literature. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2003; 9: 967-982.
12. Tannock IF, et al. Cognitive impairment associated with chemotherapy for cancer: Report of a workshop. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004. 22(11): p. 2233-2239.

EXERCISE IN CANCER RECOVERY: AN OVERVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE

Sandra C Hayes and Beth Newman Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology
Email: sc.hayes@qut.edu.au

Abstract

With survival prospects following cancer diagnosis improving, more attention is being placed on the need for effective rehabilitation strategies. One strategy with the potential to positively influence the psychosocial as well as physical and functional status of patients with cancer is exercise. Increasing scientific evidence is available to support that participating in exercise during and following treatment for cancer, in particular breast cancer, is associated with improvements in psychosocial and physical outcomes. Although the exercise prescriptive characteristics have differed between investigations, the general recommended exercise prescription is of moderate-intensity, regular frequency (3-5 times/week) for 20-30 minutes per session. To ensure translation of research knowledge into clinical practice, future research must begin to evaluate how best to integrate exercise rehabilitation into the routine clinical care of cancer patients.

Cancer has become a leading cause of morbidity and mortality for Australians. However, while cancer is estimated to be responsible each year for about 261,000 years of life lost before the age of 75 years, survival prospects have never been better. Females have higher survival probabilities than males, with five-year relative survival rates being 63.4% and 56.8%, respectively.¹ For some of the more common forms of cancer, five-year survival prospects are even higher: melanoma, >90%; breast, >84%; prostate, >82%. Unfortunately, surviving cancer is not without its problems, as it is often associated with the presence of adverse physical and psychosocial side effects.

Treatment and side effects

Surgery, radiation therapy and systemic therapy (ie. drugs) represent the most common treatment modalities for cancer,² all of which have the potential to induce adverse patient effects. While the presence of side effects tends to peak during treatment, symptoms may persist for many months or even years following treatment.³ Of all the potential physical and psychosocial side effects during and following cancer treatment, fatigue is regarded as one of the most common and disabling, occurring in 40-100% of patients.^{4,5} As many as 40% of cancer survivors continue to report fatigue months or years following treatment,⁷ with the presence of fatigue either significantly (31%) or somewhat (39%) adversely influencing survivors' daily lives.⁸

Increased survival rates after cancer treatment have placed more attention on the need for effective rehabilitative procedures. Arguably a public health imperative exists to assist this population to bridge the gap between treatment cessation and effectively returning to 'normal' daily lives. The role of pharmacological, behavioural and psychosocial interventions in this endeavour has been assessed.⁹ Unfortunately, many of these are unlikely to address the physical and functional problems of patients with cancer.¹⁰ Exercise is one strategy that has well-documented effects on all quality of life (QoL) domains with healthy adults,¹¹ as well as those with chronic disease,¹² including cardiovascular and pulmonary, metabolic, orthopaedic, neuromuscular and cognitive, emotional and sensory conditions. Hence it is a logical candidate for evaluation with cancer patients and cancer survivors.

Exercise and cancer

Exercise pre, during and post-treatment has been recommended for those with cancer since 1975, to prevent the sequelae of disuse and to maintain functional capacity.¹³ However, only recently has there been sufficient evidence to support its effectiveness in reducing symptoms and improving QoL among cancer survivors.³ The pioneering work in exercise and cancer recovery was first published in the early 1980s.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ Since then the field has significantly expanded and now there are several reviews on the topic^{2,3,19-26} highlighting that exercise is an important, safe, feasible and appropriate QoL intervention for cancer patients and survivors. What follows is a summary of this work.

Exercise interventions have focused predominantly on

women with breast cancer,²⁷⁻³² although effects have been investigated with other patients including those with head and neck,³³ stomach,³⁴ colorectal²¹ and prostate³⁵ cancers, melanoma,³⁶ cancer during childhood and adolescence,^{37,38} as well as those undertaking bone marrow transplant treatment.^{39,40} Observational (prospective,⁴⁰ retrospective³⁸ and cross-sectional⁴¹ in design) and intervention studies^{27,39,42,43} have been completed. Among the intervention studies, the effects of aerobic-based exercise have received the greatest attention. By far the most preferred exercise modes investigated include stationary cycling and walking. The potential benefits derived from resistance-based exercise programs have only relatively recently been studied.^{44,45,35} Exercise intervention programs have been scheduled during^{27,28,42,44} and/or following treatment,^{37,45,46} implemented with varying degrees of supervision, lasting in duration from two⁴⁷ to 52⁴⁸ weeks, and studies have involved between five⁴⁵ to 442⁴⁹ participants. Exercise interventions usually included at least three exercise sessions per week of at least 15 minutes duration, at moderate intensities. However, these prescriptive characteristics vary across studies: frequency, 1-6 days/week; duration, 15-60 minutes/session; intensity, low to moderate (50-85% of maximal effort/heart rate). Finally, various physical and psychosocial outcomes have been assessed throughout these investigations.

Exercise and promotion of wellness

Usually the role of an exercise intervention during treatment is to minimise the adverse impact of the cancer treatment. Following treatment, rehabilitative interventions predominantly aim to reduce the physical and psychosocial burden of the disease and its associated treatment and to restore function and assist the return to 'normal' daily life. Examples of outcome measures assessed in exercise intervention studies among those with cancer include QoL, well-being, mental health, depression, anxiety, self-esteem, fatigue, fitness, nausea, cardiac function, body composition, immune function and haematology. These outcome measures can be broadly categorised as physical or psychosocial. Despite modest sample sizes in a large proportion of the studies, statistically significant beneficial effects have been observed.³ Positive changes in physical measures, including fitness, flexibility, body composition, haematological parameters (such as natural killer cell cytotoxic activity, netropenia, thrombocytopenia, required platelet transfusions), fatigue and nausea, as well as physical and functional well-being, have been reported. Participating in physical activity during and following treatment has also been linked with: improved psychosocial status; increased vigour and coping behaviours; reduced depression, anger and anxiety; and improved QoL.

While another purpose of exercise interventions following treatment for cancer includes the prevention of secondary cancer and other chronic disease, to date this objective has largely been overlooked. Recently published findings from the Nurses' Health Study,⁵⁰ conducted in the US, has found that physical activity after a breast cancer diagnosis may reduce the risk of death from this disease, with the greatest benefits occurring in women who participated in the equivalent

of walking 3-5 hours per week at an average pace. Compelling evidence is now available demonstrating that quality of survival is enhanced through exercise participation. Determining whether quantity of survival is influenced via exercise requires further investigation.

Exercise prescription – the clinical concerns

Clinical concerns regarding exercise prescription to cancer patients and survivors have included: the potential immunosuppressive effect of vigorous exercise; risk of bone fractures in those with compromised bone health; potential for elevating the cardiotoxic effects of cancer treatment; potential for exacerbating treatment side effects including fatigue, pain, lymphoedema, nausea; and the perceived reduction in the ability of cancer patients to tolerate exercise.³ These clinical concerns have potentially dictated the prescriptive characteristics of the exercise interventions studied. Vigorous exercise has been avoided, as have high-impact types of activity. Furthermore, cancer survivors with particular side effects such as lymphoedema have until recently been excluded from participating in exercise intervention studies, with fear of exacerbating this condition the likely reason. While caution is appropriate when prescribing exercise to special populations, it is important to ensure that cancer survivors are not unnecessarily restricted from participating in activity types or intensities that would at worst do no physical harm, yet could lead to significant QoL improvements. For example, it makes sense that patients with bony metastasis avoid high-impact activities and/or activities that increase risk of falls. However, this same advice may not be appropriate for a woman who has completed treatment for breast cancer and enjoys the social and physical aspect of a game of netball. Exercise of vigorous intensity would be an inappropriate starting point for those whose functional capacity has significantly decreased following cancer treatment. However, there are likely cases (eg. cancer survivors who have been regularly active pre-diagnosis and remained active throughout treatment) for whom there are no physiological reasons why vigorous activity should be avoided. Furthermore, the concept of a standard exercise prescription for the group, individualised for each participant, needs to be understood. For example, for an exercise intervention of moderate intensity, one participant might run at a speed of 10km per hour while another walks at a pace of 20km per hour, yet both individuals will be working at moderate intensities.

Fatigue and lymphoedema merit special attention, as they represent cancer symptoms that have previously been treated with rest. It is now understood that exercise participation during and/or after cancer treatment at worst does not exacerbate fatigue.^{4,40,45} It is also known that failure to participate in a progressive exercise program could potentially exacerbate fatigue rather than prevent or minimise it.⁴² With rest, or when physical activity levels are down-regulated, a detrimental cycle of diminished activity which leads to being easily fatigued and vice versa is initiated. As for lymphoedema, evidence is accumulating demonstrating that participation in an exercise program does not increase lymphoedema risk or exacerbate the condition if already present.^{44,48,53} Restricting the involvement in exercise of cancer survivors with secondary

lymphoedema may limit their opportunity to participate in a potential rehabilitative strategy that could lead to significant changes in their physical and psychosocial well-being.

Importantly, among exercise interventions tested, now totalling more than 1000 patients diagnosed with various cancers and involving various treatment regimes, no major adverse events linked to exercise have been reported. There is sufficient evidence to support the notion that exercise is a safe, feasible and effective QoL intervention following cancer diagnosis.

Enhancing benefit and minimising risk

It is also important to clarify that exercise is 'safe' when being prescribed by appropriately qualified health professionals working in collaboration with treating specialists. Accredited exercise physiologists (accreditation from the Australian Association for Exercise and Sports Science) possess the necessary skills, experience and qualifications to undertake this prescription within the private setting. Furthermore, the effectiveness of exercise as a QoL intervention depends on the participant's motivation and adherence.⁵¹ Although adherence rates were not well-reported throughout exercise intervention studies, of those that were, rates ranged between 64-100%.²⁴ Compared with most physical activity interventions among healthy men and women where adherence rates are on average 50%, the rates for cancer survivors are high, potentially suggesting that cancer presents a 'teachable moment'.⁵² The role of the physician must be acknowledged as a likely important factor influencing participants' motivation and adherence.³ Courneya et al³ summarises this issue by highlighting the results of international work demonstrating that at least 50% of breast cancer survivors reported that their physicians neither mentioned nor recommended exercise as part of their rehabilitation. Of the survivors who were recommended exercise, they participated in more physical activity than those who did not receive this information.

Exercise prescription recommendations

Table 1 presents the exercise prescription guidelines for early-stage cancer patients and cancer survivors. This table has been taken from a review by Courneya et al³ published in 2000. Despite more work in the field since this was published, it continues to represent appropriate exercise prescription guidelines, with the possible exception of being too restrictive for mode. As indicated earlier, only recently has resistance exercise been included in exercise interventions under study. This is relatively surprising since a known role of resistance exercise is to increase muscle mass and to improve muscular endurance and/or attenuate muscle-wasting associated with various conditions, such as cancer. While there is much to be learned about the role of this exercise mode in cancer recovery, preliminary evidence suggests that resistance training alone or in combination with aerobic-based exercise has the potential to reduce fatigue and improve QoL.³⁵ Resistance exercise interventions tested have been of moderate intensity using large-muscle group exercises (eg. chest press, leg press); two sets, 8-12 repetitions of 60-70% of one repetition maximum^{35,44} or one set to failure between 15-20 repetitions progressing to 8-12 repetitions.⁵³

Table 1: Exercise prescription guidelines for early-stage cancer patients and cancer survivors

Parameter	Recommendation and comment
Mode	Most exercise involving large muscle groups is appropriate, but walking and cycling are especially recommended because they are safe and tolerable for patients. Exercises are modified based on acute or chronic treatment effects from surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy.
Frequency	At least 3-5x/wk, but daily exercise may be preferable for deconditioned patients who do lighter intensity and shorter duration exercises.
Intensity	Moderate, depending on current fitness level and medical treatments. Guidelines recommend 50-75% VO2 max or HR _{reserve} , 60-80% HR _{max} , or an RPE of 11-14. HR _{reserve} is the best guideline if HR _{max} is estimated rather than measured.*
Duration	At least 20-30 minutes continuous exercise; deconditioned patients or those experiencing severe side effects of treatment may need to combine short bouts (eg. 3-5 minutes) with rest intervals.
Progression	Patients should meet frequency and duration goals before they increase intensity. Progression should be slower and more gradual for deconditioned patients or those who are experiencing severe side effects of treatment.

* HR_{reserve} = maximal heart rate (HR_{max}) minus standing resting heart rate (HR_{rest}). Multiply HR_{reserve} by 0.60 and 0.80. Add each of these values to HR_{rest} to obtain the target HR range. HR_{max} can be estimated as 220 minus age (years). HR = heart rate; RPE = rating of perceived exertion; VO2 max = maximal oxygen uptake.

Table 3 page 68 reproduced, with permission, from Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Jones LW: Coping with cancer: can exercise help? *Phys Sportsmed* 2000;28(5):49-73 Vendome Group LLC.

Future work needs to push the boundaries of this exercise prescription, so that we can begin to develop a better understanding of what constitutes optimal, desirable and necessary frequency, duration, intensities and type, and whether these levels are dependent on characteristics of the individual (eg. age, cancer type, treatment).

Translating evidence into clinical practice

Despite the high prevalence of physical and psychosocial impairment among cancer survivors, as well as the recognition that cancer rehabilitation is an essential component of cancer care, exercise rehabilitation does not yet form part of standard care. If patients have the inclination and knowledge, they may access resources available within the community to assist in their rehabilitative endeavours. These are somewhat limited, with more options currently available for breast cancer survivors. On a national front, Cancer Councils around Australia provide counselling services, information, support services and offer a 'living with cancer' education program. The YWCA's Encore program is also available for women with breast cancer and some hospitals may provide their own rehabilitative programs, such as the STRETCH or the domiciliary allied health acute care and rehabilitation service (DAART) programs for women undertaking breast cancer treatment. However, of the programs available for cancer survivors in Australia, few encompass components that address both the psychological as well as functional concerns.⁵⁴ Of those that do include some form of exercise, the prescriptive characteristics are likely to fall below what current research recommends and to focus on specific areas only rather than embracing a whole-body approach. For example, exercise programs tend to occur once per week and to emphasise light intensities or to target shoulder and arm function. For those patients who have adequate financial resources, the services of an accredited exercise physiologist could be used to assist in their physical

rehabilitative endeavours (Medicare rebates are now available for payment of these services). However, clearly this option is not an appropriate public health solution.

Conclusion

The quality of research on exercise and cancer varies, with few rigorous randomised control trials being completed. Difficulties in recruitment, overcoming the notion that patients during and after cancer treatment need to 'take it easy and rest' and yet at the same time avoid ethical implications of only providing a potential effective rehabilitative strategy to some participants, contribute to the flaws in study designs. However, other contributing factors include lack of measuring adherence, lack of quantification and control for pre-intervention activity levels, poor data collection of potential confounders and failure to use an intention-to-treat analysis. Cancer includes over 100 types and treatment strategies often vary both between and within cancer types, also contributing to the inconsistencies observed across exercise and cancer studies. Generally speaking, the quality of work in this area has gradually improved over the years. Nevertheless, more rigorous randomised control trials that are well described, involving larger sample sizes and population-based samples are required to continue to advance our understanding in this research arena. Furthermore, our understanding of how we can best assist cancer survivors to become active needs substantial improvement. Therefore, future work must address the feasibility and acceptability of various exercise programs from the perspective of the survivor as well as the medical profession. That is, how can exercise programs be feasibly integrated into the routine clinical care of people with cancer, for the purpose of minimising the impact of cancer treatment, restoring QoL following treatment and preventing recurrence and other chronic disease. □

References

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare and Australasian Association of Cancer Registries, Cancer survival in Australia, 2001, Part 1: National summary statistics. 2001, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare: Canberra.
2. Courneya KS. Exercise in cancer survivors: An overview of research. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(11):1846-1852.
3. Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Jones LW. Coping with cancer: Can exercise help? *Phys Sportsmed.* 2000;30:33-42.
4. Dimeo F, Stieglitz RD, Novelli-Fischer U, Fetscher S, Mertelsmann R, Keul J. Correlation between physical performance and fatigue in cancer patients. *Ann Oncol.* 1997;8(12):1251-1255.
5. Irvine D, Vincent L, Graydon JE, Bubela N, Thompson L. The prevalence and correlates of fatigue in patients receiving treatment with chemotherapy and radiotherapy: a comparison with the fatigue experienced by healthy individuals. *Cancer Nurs.* 1994;17(5):367-378.
6. Schwatz AL. Patterns of exercise and fatigue in physically active cancer survivors. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1998;25(3):485-491.
7. Broeckel JA, Jacobsen PB, Horton J, Balducci L, Lyman G. Characteristics and correlates of fatigue after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. 1998;16(5):1689-1696.
8. Vogelzang N, Breitbart W, Cella D, Curt G, Groopman J, Horning S, Itri L, Johnson D, Scherr S, et al. Patient, caregiver, and oncologist perceptions of cancer-related fatigue: Results of a tripart assessment survey: The fatigue coalition. *Semin Haematol.* 1997;34(3 Suppl 2):4-12.
9. Mock V. Evidence-based treatment for cancer-related fatigue. *Mono: J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2004;32:112-118.
10. Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM. Physical exercise and quality of life following cancer diagnosis: A literature review. *Ann Behav Med.* 1999;21:171-179.
11. American College of Sports Medicine Stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998;30(6):992-1008.
12. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's Exercise Management for Personals with Chronic Diseases and Disabilities. 1997, Human Kinetics: Illinois, USA.
13. Villaneuva R. Rehabilitation needs of cancer patients. *South Med J.* 1975;68:169-172.
14. Winningham ML. Effects of a bicycle ergometry program on functional capacity and feelings of control in women with breast cancer. 1983, Ohio State University: Columbus, Ohio.
15. Winningham ML, MacVicar M, Bondoc M, Anderson J, Minton J. Effect of aerobic exercise on body weight and composition in patients with breast cancer on adjuvant chemotherapy. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1989;16:683-389.
16. Winningham ML, MacVicar M. The effect of aerobic exercise on patient reports of nausea. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1988;15:447-150.
17. Winningham ML. Walking program for people with cancer. *Cancer Nurs.* 1991;14:270-276.
18. Winningham ML, MacVicar MG, Burke CA. Exercise for cancer patients: Guidelines and precautions. *Phys Sportsmed.* 1986;14:125-134.
19. Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS. Exercise as rehabilitation for cancer patients. *Clin J Sports Med.* 1996;6:237-244.
20. Smith SL. Physical exercise as an oncology nursing intervention to enhance quality of life. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1996;23:771-778.
21. Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM. Relationship between exercise pattern across the cancer experience and current quality of life in colorectal survivors. *J Altern Complement Med.* 1997;3:215-226.
22. Courneya K, Mackey JR, McKenzie DC. Exercise after breast cancer: Research evidence and clinical guidelines. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2002;30:33-42.
23. Stevinson C, Lawlor DA, Fox KR. Exercise interventions for cancer patients: Systematic review of controlled trials. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2004;15:1035-1056.
24. Irwin ML, Ainsworth BE. Physical activity interventions following cancer diagnosis: methodologic challenges to delivery and assessment. *Cancer Invest.* 2004;22(1):30-50.
25. Watson T, Mock V. Exercise as an intervention for cancer-related fatigue. *Phys Ther.* 2004;84(8):736-743.
26. Oldervoll LM, Kaasa S, Hjermstad MJ, Lund JA, Loge JH. Physical exercise results in the improved subjective well-being of a few or is effective rehabilitation for all cancer patients? *Eur J Cancer.* 2004;40:951-962.
27. Mock V, Burke MB, Sheehan P, Creaton EM, Winningham ML, McKenney-Tedder S, Schwager L. A nursing rehabilitation program for women with breast cancer receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1994;21(5):899-907.
28. Segal R, Evans W, Johnson D, Smith J, Colletta S, Gayton J, Woodard S, Wells G, Reid R. Structured exercise improves physical functioning in women with stages I and II breast cancer: results of a randomised controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(3):657-665.
29. Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Bell GJ, Jones LW, Field CJ, Fairey AS. Randomized controlled trial of exercise training in postmenopausal breast cancer survivors: Cardiopulmonary and quality of life outcomes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(9):1660-1668.
30. Nieman DC, Cook VD, Henson DA, Suttles J, Rejeski WJ, Ribisi PM, Fagoaga OR, Nehlsen-Cannarella SL. Moderate exercise training and natural killer cell cytotoxic activity in breast cancer patients. *Int J Sports Med.* 1995;16:334-337.
31. Schwartz AL, Mori M, Gao R, Nail LM, King ME. Exercise reduces daily fatigue in women with breast cancer receiving chemotherapy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(5):718-723.
32. Mock V, Hassey Dow K, Meares CJ, Grimm P, Dienemann J, Haisfield-Wolfe M, Quitsol W, Mitchell S, Chakravarthy A, et al. Effects of exercise on fatigue, physical functioning and emotional distress during radiation therapy for breast cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1997;24:991-1000.
33. McNeely ML, Parliament M, Courneya KS, Seikaly H, Jha N, Scrimger R, Hanson J. A pilot study of a randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effects of progressive resistance exercise training on shoulder dysfunction caused by spinal accessory neurectomy/neurectomy in head and neck cancer survivors. *Head Neck.* 2004;26:518-530.
34. Na Y, Kim M, Kim Y, Ha Y, Yoon D. Exercise therapy effect on natural killer cell cytotoxic activity in stomach cancer patients after curative surgery. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2000;81(6):777-779.
35. Segal RJ, Reid RD, Courneya KS, Malone SC, Parliament MB, Scott CG, Venner PM, Quinney HA, Jones LW, Slovinc D'Angelo ME, Wells GA. Resistance exercise in men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(9):1653-1659.
36. Schwartz A, Thompson J, Masood N. Interferon-induced fatigue in patients with melanoma: a pilot study of exercise and methylphenidate. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 2002;29(7):85-90.
37. Sharkey AM, Carey A, Heise CT, Barber G. Cardiac rehabilitation after cancer therapy in children and young adults. *Am J Cardiol.* 1993;71:1488-1490.
38. Keats MR, Courneya KS, Danielsen S, Whitsett S. Leisure-time physical activity and psychosocial well-being in adolescents after cancer diagnosis. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 1999;16(4):180-188.
39. Hayes S, Davies PSW, Parker T, Bashford J, Newman B. Quality of life changes following peripheral blood stem cell transplantation and participation in a mixed-type, moderate-intensity, exercise program. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2004;33:553-558.
40. Courneya KS, Keats MR, Turner AR. Physical exercise and quality of life in cancer patients following high dose chemotherapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation. *Psycho-Oncol.* 2000;9:127-136.
41. Pinto BM, Maruyama NC, Engebretson TO, Theborge RW. Participation in exercise, mood, and coping in survivors of early stage breast cancer. *J Psychosoc Oncol.* 1998;16(2):45-58.
42. Dimeo FC, Stieglitz R-D, Novelli-Fischer U, Fetscher S, Keul J. Effects of physical activity on the fatigue and psychologic status of cancer patients during chemotherapy. *Cancer.* 1999;15:2273-2277.
43. Dimeo FC, Thomas F, Raabe-Menssen C, Propper F, Mathias M. Effect of aerobic exercise and relaxation training on fatigue and physical performance of cancer patients after surgery. A randomised controlled trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer.* 2004;12(7):774-779.
44. MacVicar MG, Winningham ML. Promoting the functional capacity of cancer patients. *The Cancer Bulletin.* 1986;38(235-239).
45. Dimeo F, Rumberger BG, Keul J. Aerobic exercise as therapy for cancer fatigue. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise.* 1998;30(4):75-478.
46. McKenzie DC, Kalda AL. Effect of upper extremity exercise on secondary lymphedema in breast cancer patients: a pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2003;21(3):463-466.
47. Dimeo F, Fetscher S, Lange W, Mertelsmann R, Keul J. Effects of aerobic exercise on the physical performance and incidence of treatment-related complications after high-dose chemotherapy. *Blood.* 1997;90:3390-3394.
48. Seifert E, Ewert S, Werle J. Exercise and sports therapy for patients with head and neck tumors. *Rehabil.* 1992;31(1):3-37.
49. Petersson L-M, Nordin K, Glimelius B, Brekkan E, Sjoden P-O, Berglund G. Differential effects of cancer rehabilitation depending on diagnosis and patients' cognitive coping style. *Psychosom Med.* 2002;64(6):971-980.
50. Holmes MD, Chen WU, Feskanich D, Kroenke CH, Colditz GA. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *J Am Med Assoc.* 2005;293(20):2479-2486.
51. Robison JI, Rogers MA. Adherence to exercise programmes: Recommendations. *Sports Med.* 1994;17(1):39-52.
52. McBride CM, Lipkus I, Peterson B, Clipp EC, Demark-Wahnefried W. Cancer diagnosis as a teachable moment for risk factor reduction. *Psycho-Oncol.* 2000;9(4):418-427.
53. Hayes SC, Davies PS, Parker TW, Bashford J, Green A. Role of a mixed type, moderate intensity exercise programme after peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. *Br J Sports Med.* 2004;38:304-309.
54. Johnson JB, Kelly AW. A multifaceted rehabilitation program for women with cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1990;17:691-695.